METHODOLOGY ARTICLE



Open Access

Updated 16S rRNA-RFLP method for the identification of all currently characterised *Arcobacter* spp

María José Figueras^{1*}, Arturo Levican¹ and Luis Collado²

Abstract

Background: Arcobacter spp. (family Campylobacteraceae) are ubiquitous zoonotic bacteria that are being increasingly recognised as a threat to human health. A previously published 16S rRNA-RFLP Arcobacter spp. identification method produced specific RFLP patterns for the six species described at that time, using a single endonuclease (*Msel*). The number of characterised Arcobacter species has since risen to 17. The aim of the present study was to update the 16S rRNA-RFLP identification method to include all currently characterised species of Arcobacter.

Results: Digestion of the 16S rRNA gene with the endonuclease *Mse*l produced clear, distinctive patterns for 10 of the 17 species, while the remaining species shared a common or very similar RFLP pattern. Subsequent digestion of the 16S rRNA gene from these species with the endonucleases *Mnl*I and/or *Bfa*l generated species-specific RFLP patterns.

Conclusions: 16S rRNA-RFLP analysis identified 17 *Arcobacter* spp. using either polyacrylamide or agarose gel electrophoresis. Microheterogeneities within the 16S rRNA gene, which interfered with the RFLP identification, were also documented for the first time in this genus, particularly in strains of *Arcobacter cryaerophilus* isolated from animal faeces and aborted foetuses.

Keywords: Arcobacter, Identification, Agarose, Polyacrylamide, 16S rRNA-RFLP, 16S rRNA gene mutations

Background

The genus *Arcobacter*, included in the family *Campylobacteraceae*, has expanded rapidly since it was first recognised in 1991 [1], and currently includes 17 species. Some of these species are considered enter-opathogenic to humans and animals, as well as important zoonotic agents. *Arcobacter* species negatively impact the food industry, as many meat products are frequently contaminated with these bacteria, and multiple species have been described from shellfish [2-6]. In addition, the International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Foods classified *A. butzleri* as a serious hazard to human health [7]. However, the true incidence of *Arcobacter* species in

environmental and clinical samples is thought to be underestimated because specific detection and identification methods are not normally applied and can be inaccurate [2,8].

A 16S rRNA restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) method for the identification of *Arcobacter* species has previously been described [9]. The method involved a single digestion with the *Msel* endonuclease and discriminated all *Arcobacter* species that had been described up to 2008, i.e. *A. butzleri*, *A. cryaerophilus*, *A. cibarius*, *A. skirrowii*, *A. nitrofigilis* and *A. halophilus* [9]. Further molecular methods for the identification of *Arcobacter* species have been reviewed elsewhere [2,9]. Most of the methods described target only the most common species i.e. *A. butzleri* [10,11], *A. cryaerophilus* [12] and/or *A. skirrowii* [13,14]. Even the most recently proposed identification method, m-PCR, described by Douidah *et al.* [15] in 2010, only targeted five species: *A. butzleri*, *A. cryaerophilus*, *A. skirrowii*, *A. cibarius* and



© 2012 Figueras et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: mariajose.figueras@urv.cat

¹Unitat de Microbiologia, Departament de Ciències Mediques Bàsiques, Facultat de Medicina i Ciències de la Salut. IISPV, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Reus, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

A. thereius. Furthermore, using this method, the species *A. defluvii, A. ellisii, A. venerupis* and *A. butzleri* produced an identical and therefore uninformative amplicon [2,5,6].

The limitations of the current methods have arisen because of the limited testing of certain species, as well as the identification of novel species [2,4-6]. Douidah *et al.* [15] suggested that the reliance of the currentlyavailable 16S rRNA-RFLP method on polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was a major disadvantage for its routine use. Furthermore, the recently described species *A. thereius*, isolated from aborted pig foetuses [16], and *A. trophiarum*, which was recovered from porcine faecal matter [17], produce the same RFLP pattern as *A. butzleri* [2]. Additionally, the new species *A. venerupis*, from clams, produces a pattern that is very similar to *A. marinus* [6,18].

The aim of the present study was to update the 16S rRNA-RFLP identification method to include all the currently characterised species of *Arcobacter*, and to provide protocols for both polyacrylamide and agarose gel electrophoresis so that the method can easily be adapted.

Results

Msel digestion can discriminate 10 of the 17 currently described *Arcobacter* species

Following digestion with the endonuclease MseI, speciesspecific differential RFLP patterns were obtained for 47 of the 121 strains (38.8%), representing 12 of the 17 species that make up the Arcobacter genus (A. nitrofigilis, A. cryaerophilus, A. skirrowii, A. cibarius, A. halophilus, A. mytili, A. marinus, A. molluscorum, A. ellisii, A. bivalviorum and A. venerupis), including the new described species A. cloacae (Figure 1 and Table 1). However, A. venerupis produced a pattern very similar to that of A. marinus, with only a single 141 bp band distinguishing the two species (Figure 4 and Additional file 1: Table S1). In addition, the new species A. suis (F41) showed the same banding pattern as A. defluvii, while the characteristic A. butzleri pattern (Figure 4 and Additional file 1: Table S1) was also observed following MseI digestion of A. thereius and A. trophiarum and 11 of the 19 (57.9%) A. cryaerophilus strains. Of these, nine strains (MICV1-1, MICV3-2, FE4, FE5, FE6, FE9, FE11, FE13 and FE14) were isolated from animal faeces in Valdivia, Chile, and two strains were isolated in Ireland (LMG 9863



Figure 1 16S rRNA-RFLP patterns (agarose gel 3.5%) obtained for *Arcobacter* **spp. using the endonuclease** *Msel.* Lanes: L, 50 bp ladder, Fermentas. The obtained patterns agree with those expected from the computer simulation (Additional file 1: Table S1). Species that share an identical or similar pattern (Additional file 1: Table S1) were: *A. butzleri*, that produced a pattern identical to those of *A. trophiarum, A. thereius* and atypical strains (n=11) of *A. cryaerophilus; A. marinus* CECT 7727^T with a pattern very similar to the one of *A. venerupis* CECT 7836^T and *A. defluvii* with an identical pattern to the one of *A. suis* strain F41. The identification of these species required additional digestions with other enzymes (Figures 2 – 4, Additional file 2: Table S2 and Additional file 3: Table S3).

STRAIN

IMC LMC

LMG 10829[¶]

LMG 9861^{¶,Ω}

 $FE14^{\Omega}$

MICV1-1^{¶,Ω}.

MICV3-2^{¶,Ω'}

LMG 7547 $^{\Omega}$

CECT 7204^{T,¶,Ω},

 $F39^{\Omega}$, $F40^{\P}$, $F72^{\Omega}$

LMG 6621^{T,¶, Ω}

LMG 9911

Houf 989^{¶,Ω}

Houf 994 $^{\Omega}$

 $S7^{\Omega}$

 $F94-1^{\Omega}$

 $FF4^{\Omega}$, $FF5^{\eta,\Omega}$, $FF6^{\eta,\Omega}$.

FE9^{¶,Ω}, FE11^Ω, FE13^Ω

Human blood

Ovine faeces

Cow faeces

Mussels

Lamb faeces

Cow faeces

Sludge

Clams

Porcine abortion

Bovine abortion foetus

Chicken cloacal swabs

Roots of Spartina alterniflora

A. trophiarum

A. thereius

A. cryaerophilus

A. nitrofigilis

A. skirrowii

SPECIES

A. butzleri

Table 1 Arcobacter spp. strains used in this study

SOURCE

Table 1 Arcobacter spp. strains used in this study (Continued)

LMG 10828 ^{1,1,Ω} ,	Human faeces		F125-1 ^Ω	Mussels
			ArcoE $^{\Omega}$, ArcoF $^{\Omega}$	
W24-2-1, W24-05-1, W07-01-8, W03-03-6, W26-02-2, W03-02-7, W21-05-1, W2105-3, W21-05-7, W24-01-1, W10-01-1	Sea water	A. cibarius	CECT 7203 ^{Τ,¶,Ω}	Chicken meat
			NC81 $^{\Omega}$, NC88 $^{\Omega}$	Piggery effluent
			H742, H743 ^Ω , H745, H746 ^Ω , H748	Poultry carcasses
SWDS1-3-2	Sewage	A. halophilus	LA31Β ^{Τ,¶,Ω}	Hypersaline lagoon
F42, F46 ^Ω , F49, F51	Pork meat	A. mytili	СЕСТ 7386 ^{Т,¶,Ω} , СЕСТ 7385 ^{¶,Ω}	Mussels
F15, F22, F23, F24, F25	Turkey meat		T234 ^Ω	Brackish water
F44, F47, F52	Chicken meat	A marinus	CECT 7727 ^{Τ,¶,Ω}	Seawater/starfish
F43, F50 ^Ω , F53	Beef meat	A. defluvii	CECT 7607 ^T ,¶,Ω	Sowago
F1, F2, F29, F30, F38, F98-1, SAN600-1, SAN600-6, SAN512-1, SAN547-10, SAN548-8,	Mussels	A. uenuvn	SW28-7 ^{¶,Ω} , SW28-8, SW28-9, SW28-10, SW30-2 ^{¶,Ω} , SW30-7, SW30-8	Jewage
SANS82-1, SANS82-0	C - 1		$MICCC4-2^{\Omega}$	Pig faeces
162	Soll		SAN599-9 $^{\Omega}$	Mussels
LMG 25534 ^{47,102} , LMG 25535 ^{¶,Ω}	Pig faeces	A. molluscorum	CECT 7696 ^{T,¶,Ω} , F91 ^{¶,Ω} , F101-1 ^{¶,Ω}	Mussels
CECT 7650 ^Ω	Chicken cloacae	A. ellisii	F79-6 ^{Τ,¶,Ω} , F79-2 ^{¶,Ω} , F79-7 ^{¶,Ω}	Mussels
LMG 24486 ^{T,¶,Ω} , LMG 24487 ^{¶,Ω}	Porcine abortion foetus			
SW24 $^{\Omega}$	Sewage	A. bivalviorum	F4 ^{T,¶,Ω} , F118-2 ^{¶,Ω} , F118-4 ^{¶,Ω}	Mussels
F61-1 ^Ω	Pork meat	A. venerupis	F67-11 ^{Τ,¶,Ω}	Clams
F89-4	Mussels	A. suis	F41 ^{T,¶,Ω}	Pork meat
F93-4 ^Ω	Clams	A. cloacae	SW28-13 ^{™,¶,Ω}	Sewage
LMG 9904 ^{T,¶,Ω} , LMG 9871 ^{¶,Ω}	Bovine abortion foetus		F26 ^{¶,Ω}	Mussels
LMG 9865 ^{¶,Ω} , LMG 10241 ^{¶,Ω} , LMG 6622, LMG 10229 ^{¶,Ω}	Porcine abortion	 AICC American Type Culture Collection, LMG Belgian Co-ordinated Collection of Micro-organisms, CECT Colección Española de Cultivos Tipo. ¶ Sequenced 16S rRNA gene. ^Ω Sequenced <i>rpoB</i> gene. 		
LMG 7537 [¶] , LMG 9863 ^{¶,} Ω	Ovine abortion foetus	and LMG 9871) from aborted ovine and bovine foetuses, respectively. The RFLP results for these 11 strains were		

respectively. The RFLP results for these 11 strains were discordant with those of m-PCR and their identity was confirmed by sequencing the 16S rRNA and rpoB genes.

Microhetergeneities in A. cryaerophilus strains interfere with **RFLP** identification

The chromatograms of the 16S rRNA gene sequences (1405 bp) of seven of the 11 unresolved A. cryaerophilus strains (MIC V1-1, MICV3-2, FE5, FE6, FE9, LMG 9863 and LMG 9871) showed mutations (i.e. microheterogeneities) at positions 192 (T \rightarrow C) and 205 (A \rightarrow G), which were within the target region (TTAA) of the MseI endonuclease (Additional file 4: Figure S1).

Digestion with Mnll and/or Bfal resolves the remaining species

A second restriction digest using MnlI (Fermentas) was then carried out for those strains with common or



similar RFLP patterns following *Mse*I digestion (Additional file 1: Table S1 and Additional file 2: Table S2). *Mnl*I generated a species-specific pattern for *A. butzleri, A. thereius, A. marinus* and *A. venerupis*, and a common pattern for *A. trophiarum* and the atypical strains of *A. cryaerophilus* (Figures 2 and 4). A further restriction digest step using *FspB*I (Fermentas), an isoschizomer of *Bfa*I, produced species-specific RFLP patterns for the separation of *A. defluvii* from *A. suis* (F41), and *A. trophiarum* from the atypical *A. cryaerophilus* strains (Figure 3 and Additional file 3: Table S3). After carrying out 16S rRNA gene restriction digests as illustrated in Figure 4, all of the 121 strains were correctly identified.

Discussion

The proposed 16S rRNA-RFLP method described here used an initial digestion with *MseI* endonuclease, as in the original method [9], which enabled 10 of the 17 accepted species, including the recently described species *A. cloacae*, to be identified. Further digestion was necessary to resolve species that showed the *MseI* digestion pattern of *A. butzleri* (also common to *A.*

thereius, A. trophiarum and to the atypical strains of A. cryaerophilus with 16S rRNA gene microheterogeneities). Computer simulation revealed that two endonucleases, MnlI and TasI, produced discriminative patterns between the species A. butzleri and A. thereius (Figure 2 and Additional file 5: Figure S2). Furthermore, these two enzymes also produced discriminative patterns between A. marinus and A. venerupis (Figure 2), which showed distinctive but very similar patterns following MseI digestion (Figure 4 and Additional file 1: Table S1). MnlI was selected because it generated more distinctive banding patterns, enabling easier discrimination than TasI (Additional file 5: Figure S2). Considering that A. *butzleri* is a very common species [2,8,19-21], the identification of the majority of strains will normally be obtained with this second (MnlI) endonuclease reaction (Figures 1, 2, 4). In fact, 79.3% of the strains (96/121) included in the current study were correctly identified with this second digestion step.

However, a third digestion, using the enzyme *BfaI*, was required to distinguish between *A. defluvii* and the recently described species *A. suis* and for distinguishing *A*.





trophiarum from the atypical *A. cryaerophilus* strains following *Mnl*I digestion (Figures 3,4 and Additional file 3: Table S3). The proposed method enables reliable and fast species identification for a large collection of isolates, requiring, at most, digestion of the PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene (1026 bp) with three restriction endonucleases (*MseI*, *Mnl*I and/or *BfaI*).

The original 16S rRNA-RFLP method [9] has been used to identify more than 800 *Arcobacter* strains recovered from meat products, shellfish and water in various studies [3-6,19-22]. The existing method has also helped to discover new species on the basis of novel RFLP patterns, including *A. mytili* [3], *A. molluscorum* [4], *A. ellisii* [5], *A. bivalviorum, A. venerupis* [6] and *A. cloacae* [23]. Furthermore, as well as identifying the more common *Arcobacter* species, this technique has confirmed the presence of other rare species in atypical habitats, such *A. nitrofigilis* in mussels and *A. thereius* in pork meat [20]. The updated technique described here is likely to supersede the current method in all of these areas.

The use of the 16S rRNA-RFLP method in parallel with the more commonly used molecular identification method, m-PCR [13], as well as the fact that strains with incongruent results were sequenced (*rpoB* and/or 16S rRNA gene sequencing), ensured accurate species

identification, and highlighted the limitations of both identification methods [2,4-6,23]. The presence of microhete-rogeneities in the 16S rRNA gene, as in the case of the 11 atypical A. cryaerophilus strains, had not previously been observed. These strains produced the m-PCR amplicon expected for A. cryaerophilus, which targets the 23S rRNA gene [13], but showed the A. butzleri 16S rRNA-RFLP pattern [9]. However, rpoB and 16S rRNA gene sequencing results confirmed these strains as A. cryaerophilus. 16S rRNA-RFLP patterns that differ from those described here can be expected for any newly discovered Arcobacter species [3-6,9,23]. Nevertheless, intra-species nucleotide diversity (i.e. mutations or microheterogeneities in the operon copies of the 16S rRNA gene) at the endonuclease cleavage sites can also generate a novel RFLP pattern for a given isolate, or result in a pattern identical to another species [9,24,25]. In the latter situation, misidentifications may occur, as described here.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the 16S rRNA-RFLP protocols described here for the identification of *Arcobacter* spp. can be carried out using either agarose or polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Figures 1–3, Additional file 1: Table S1, Additional file 2: Table S2, Additional file 3: Table S3), depending on the requirements of an individual laboratory. It is important, however, to carry out the 16S rRNA gene digestions in the order illustrated in the flow chart (Figure 4).

The method provided in this study is reproducible, reliable, simple, fast, and reasonably inexpensive, and can be carried out efficiently in any laboratory. The technique is highly applicable for investigations of the prevalence of arcobacters in a variety of food products, water, wastewater or other environmental samples. It will enable investigators to determine the true incidence of the recently described species *A. mytili, A. marinus, A. trophiarum, A. molluscorum, A. defluvii, A. ellisii, A. bivalviorum, A. venerupis, A. cloacae* and *A. suis* clarifying their prevalence and epidemiology.

Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

A group of 121 *Arcobacter* strains isolated from diverse origins were used in this study, including the type strains of the 17 *Arcobacter* species, as well as strains included in the original descriptions of all species (Table 1). Strains belonging to the most recently described *Arcobacter* species (*A. cloacae*, n=2, and *A. suis*, n=1) [23] were also included in the analysis.

All *Arcobacter* strains were cultured in TSA supplemented with 5% sheep blood at 30°C under aerobic conditions for 48 h in preparation for DNA extraction.

Strain identification by RFLP

All strains were identified in parallel using the 16S rRNA-RFLP method described by Figueras *et al.* [9] and the m-PCR method of Houf *et al.* [13]. Furthermore, the identities of some strains, especially those that gave either an unknown RFLP pattern, or contradictory results between the two methods (16S rRNA-RFLP and m-PCR), were confirmed by sequencing the 16S rRNA and/or the *rpoB* genes (Table 1) using primers and conditions described previously [3,26].

For the RFLP identification, total genomic DNA was extracted from all strains and used as template for the PCR amplification of a 1026 bp region of the 16S rRNA gene, as previously described [9,27]. 16S rRNA amplicons were digested with TruI (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania), an isoschizomer of MseI, in a 30 µl final volume containing 10 µl of the amplification product, 10 U of the enzyme, 2 μ l of 10× buffer, and distilled water. The reaction mixture was incubated at 65°C for 4 h. To separate the restriction fragments, the digested products were electrophoresed on 15% polyacrylamide gels (ProtoGel, Hessle, United Kingdom) at 350 V for 5 h [9], and on 3.5% agarose gels at 100 V for 90 min. In both cases, gels were prepared in 1× Tris-Borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer, and 50 bp ladder (Fermentas) was used as a molecular weight marker. Gels were stained with either SYBR Safe (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or Red Safe (Ecogen, Barcelona, Spain) DNA gel stains, according to the manufacturers' instructions, and then photographed on a UV transilluminator Vilber Lourmat Model TFX-35C (Marne-la-Vallée, France).

Determination of restriction endonuclease recognition sites

Restriction endonuclease recognitions sites within the 16S rRNA sequences of all strains included in this study (Table 1 and Additional file 1: Table S1, Additional file 2: Table S2, Additional file 3: Table S3) were identified using NEBcutter V 2.0 software [28], which is available online (http://tools.neb.com/NEBcutter2/index.php). Experimental validation of the selected enzymes was carried out following the manufacturers' instructions, under the conditions described above.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. Computer simulated profiles of *Arcobacter* spp. 16S rRNA gene (1026 bp) digestion with *Msel* endonuclease. Species with specific RFLP patterns are in bold.

Additional file 2: Table S2. Computer simulated profiles of *Arcobacter spp.16S rRNA gene* (1026 bp) digestion with *MnI* endonuclease. Species in bold are those that show a specific RFLP pattern that was not distinguished with *Msel*.

Additional file 3: Table S3. Computer simulated profiles of *Arcobacter* spp. 16S rRNA gene (1026 bp) digestion with *Bfal* endonuclease. Species in bold are those that now show a specific RFLP pattern that was not distinguished previously with *Msel* or *Mnl*.

Additional file 4: Figure S1. Microheterogeneities (or mutations) in the 16S rRNA gene of seven atypical *A. cryaerophilus* strains in relation to the type strain (LMG 9904^T), strain LMG 10829 (*A. cryaerophilus* subgroup 1B) and the type strain of *A. butzleri* (LMG 10828^T). Sequence alignment of the 16S rRNA gene (positions 190–207 in relation to *Escherichia coli*) of seven atypical *A. cryaerophilus* strains showing mutations at positions 192 (T \rightarrow C) and 205 (A \rightarrow G), which alter the *Msel* restriction enzyme recognition site (TTAA). IUPAC code, Y = Pyrimidine (C or T); R = Purine (A or G).

Additional file 5: Figure S2. Agarose gel (3.5%) comparing the 16S rRNA-RFLP patterns obtained using endonucleases a\) *Tas*l and b) *Mnl* for species *A. butzleri, A. thereius* and *A. trophiarum*. Lanes 1 and 14, 50 bp ladder (Fermentas); 2, *A. butzleri* LMG 10828^T; 3, *A. butzleri* F42; 4, *A. butzleri* F43; 5, *A. butzleri* F44; 6, *A. butzleri* F50; 7, *A. butzleri* LMG 11118; 8, *A. thereius* LMG 24486^T; 9, *A. thereius* SW24; 10, *A. thereius* F89-4; 11, *A. thereius* F93-4 y 12, *A.thereius* LMG 24487; 13, *A. trophiarum* CECT 7650 (identical pattern to that of the 11 atypical strains of *A. cryaerophilus*, Additional file 2: Table S2). *Mnl* was selected because it produced more distinctive patterns among the species than *Tas*l.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MJF designed the research project, evaluated results and was principal author. LC isolated the nine strains of *A. cryaerophilus* in Chile and carried out the speciation and 16S rRNA gene mutation analyses. AL carried out the computer simulations, the experimental digestions and participated in the drafting of manuscript under the supervision of LC and MJF. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Maqsudul Alam (University of Hawaii, Manoa, HI), Dr. Kurt Houf (Ghent University, Belgium), Dr. Nalini Chinivasagam (Animal Research Institute, Queensland, Australia) and Dr. Robert Madden (Queen's University Belfast, Ireland) for kindly providing *Arcobacter* strains. AL is thankful to Universitat Rovira i Virgili for a doctoral grant and to CONICYT, Chile, for financial support through Becas Chile. This work was supported in part by the project with reference AGL2011-30461-C02-02 from the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain).

Author details

¹Unitat de Microbiologia, Departament de Ciències Mediques Bàsiques, Facultat de Medicina i Ciències de la Salut. IISPV, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Reus, Spain. ²Institute of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

Received: 18 May 2012 Accepted: 13 December 2012 Published: 18 December 2012

References

- Vandamme P, Falsen E, Rossau R, Hoste B, Segers P, Tytgat R, De Ley J: Revision of *Campylobacter, Helicobacter*, and *Wolinella* taxonomy: emendation of generic descriptions and proposal of *Arcobacter* gen. nov. Int J Syst Bacterio 1991, 41:88–103.
- Collado L, Figueras MJ: Taxonomy, epidemiology and clinical relevance of the genus Arcobacter. Clin Microbiol Rev 2011, 24:174–192.
- Collado L, Cleenwerck I, Van Trappen S, De Vos P, Figueras MJ: Arcobacter mytili sp. nov., an indoxyl acetate-hydrolysis-negative bacterium isolated from mussels. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2009, 59:1391–1396.
- Figueras MJ, Collado L, Levican A, Perez J, Solsona MJ, Yustes C: Arcobacter molluscorum sp. nov., new species isolated from shellfish. Syst Appl Microbiol 2011, 34:105–109.
- Figueras MJ, Levican A, Collado L, Inza MI, Yustes C: Arcobacter ellisii sp. nov., isolated from mussels. Syst Appl Microbiol 2011, 34:414–418.
- Levican A, Collado L, Aguilar C, Yustes C, Diéguez AL, Romalde JL, Figueras MJ: Arcobacter bivalviorum sp. nov. and Arcobacter venerupis sp. nov., new species isolated from shellfish. Syst Appl Microbiol 2012, 35:133–138.
- International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods: Microorganisms in foods 7. Microbiological testing in food safety management. New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2002.
- Vandenberg O, Dediste A, Houf K, Ibekwem S, Souayah H, Cadranel S, Douat N, Zissis G, Butzler JP, Vandamme P: *Arcobacter species in humans. Emerg Infect Dis* 2004, **10**:1863–1867.
- Figueras MJ, Collado L, Guarro J: A new 16S rDNA-RFLP method for the discrimination of the accepted species of Arcobacter. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2008, 62:11–15.
- Kärenlampi RI, Tolvanen TP, Hanninen ML: Phylogenetic analysis and PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism identification of *Campylobacter* species based on partial groEL gene sequences. J Clin Microbiol 2004, 42:5731–5738.
- González A, Moreno Y, Gonzalez R, Hernández J, Ferrus MA: Development of a simple and rapid method based on polymerase chain reactionbased restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis to differentiate *Helicobacter, Campylobacter,* and *Arcobacter* species. *Curr Microbiol* 2006, 53:416–421.
- Brightwell G, Mowat E, Clemens R, Boerema J, Pulford DJ, On S: Development of a multiplex and real time PCR assay for the specific detection of Arcobacter butzleri and Arcobacter cryaerophilus. J Microbiol Methods 2007, 68:318–325.
- Houf K, Tutenel A, De Zutter L, Van Hoof J, Vandamme P: Development of a multiplex PCR assay for the simultaneous detection and identification of Arcobacter butzleri, Arcobacter cryaerophilus and Arcobacter skirrowii. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2000, 193:89–94.
- 14. Kabeya H, Kobayashi Y, Maruyama S, Mikami T: Distribution of Arcobacter species among livestock in Japan. *Vet Microbiol* 2003, **93**:153–158.
- Douidah L, De Zutter L, Vandamme P, Houf K: Identification of five human and mammal associated Arcobacter species by a novel multiplex-PCR assay. J Microbiol Methods 2010, 80:281–286.

- Houf K, On S, Coenye T, Debruyne L, De Smet S, Vandamme P: Arcobacter thereius sp. nov., isolated from pigs and ducks. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2009, 59:2599–2604.
- De Smet S, Vandamme P, De Zutter L, On S, Douidah L, Houf K: Arcobacter trophiarum sp. nov. isolated from fattening pigs. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2011, 63:356–36118.
- Kim HM, Hwang CY, Cho BC: Arcobacter marinus sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2010, 60:531–536.
- Collado L, Inza I, Guarro J, Figueras MJ: Presence of Arcobacter spp. in environmental waters correlates with high levels of fecal pollution. *Environ Microbiol* 2008, 10:1635–1640.
- Collado L, Guarro J, Figueras MJ: Prevalence of Arcobacter in meat and shellfish. J Food Prot 2009, 72:1102–1106.
- Collado L, Kasimir G, Perez U, Bosch A, Pinto R, Saucedo G, Huguet JM, Figueras JM: Occurrence and diversity of *Arcobacter* spp. along the Llobregat river catchment, at sewage effluents and in a drinking water treatment plant. *Water Res* 2010, 44:3696–3702.
- Collado L, Levican A, Perez J, Figueras MJ: Arcobacter defluvii sp. nov., isolated from sewage. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2011, 61:1895–1901.
- Levican A, Collado L, Figueras MJ: Arcobacter cloacae sp. nov. and Arcobacter suis sp. nov., two new species isolated from food and sewage. Syst Appl Microbiol, . doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2012.11.003. in press.
- Figueras MJ, Soler L, Chacón MR, Guarro J, Martínez-Murcia AJ: Use of restriction fragment length polymorphism of the PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene for the identification of *Aeromonas spp. J Clin Microbiol* 2000, 38:2023–2025.
- Alperi A, Figueras MJ, Inza I, Martinez-Murcia AJ: Analysis of 16S rRNA gene mutations in a subset of *Aeromonas* strains and their impact in species delineation. *Int Microbiol* 2008, 11:185–194.
- Martínez-Murcia AJ, Benlloch S, Collins MD: Phylogenetic interrelationships of members of the genera *Aeromonas* and *Plesiomonas* as determined by 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing: lack of congruence with results of DNA-DNA hybridizations. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1992, 42:412–421.
- Marshall SM, Melito PL, Woodward DL, Johnson WM, Rodgers FG, Mulvey R: Rapid identification of *Campylobacter, Arcobacter*, and *Helicobacter* isolates by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the 16S rRNA gene. J Clin Microbiol 1999, 37:4158–4160.
- Vincze T, Posfai J, Roberts RJ: NEBcutter: a program to cleave DNA with restriction enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:3688–3691. http://tools.neb. com/NEBcutter2/index.php

doi:10.1186/1471-2180-12-292

Cite this article as: Figueras *et al.*: Updated 16S rRNA-RFLP method for the identification of all currently characterised *Arcobacter* spp. *BMC Microbiology* 2012 **12**:292.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit